

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/696,899	BIDWELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anthony Q. Edwards	2835

All Participants:

(1) Anthony Q. Edwards.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Peter Lam.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Office Action mailed 2/8/2005

Claims discussed:

1, 13, 23 and 28

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent No. 5,129,397 to Jingu

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner had initially agreed to Applicant's arguments, but rescinded upon further review of both the prior art and the applicant's specification.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)